

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF OREGON

JANETTE M. WILKEN,  
  
Plaintiff,

CV 06-195-ST

OPINION AND ORDER

v.

CASCADIA BEHAVIORAL HEALTHCARE,  
INC.,  
  
Defendant.

---

REDDEN, Judge:

On October 31, 2007, Magistrate Judge Stewart filed her Findings and Recommendation (doc. 145) in the above-captioned case, recommending that plaintiff's Partial Motion for Summary Judgment (doc. 128) be granted as to the Fourteenth Affirmative Defense. The matter is now before this court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(A), and Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b). The parties did not timely file objections to the Findings and Recommendation. This relieves me of my obligation to give the factual findings de novo review. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C);

Simpson v. Lear Astronics Corp., 77 F.3d 1170, 1174-75 (9th Cir. 1996). Having reviewed the legal principles de novo, I find no error.

Accordingly, I ADOPT Magistrate Judge Stewart's Findings and Recommendation (doc. 145) as my own opinion. Accordingly, plaintiff's Partial Motion for Summary Judgment (doc. 128) is GRANTED as to defendant's Fourteenth Affirmative Defense.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this 2nd day of January, 2008.

/s/ James A. Redden  
James A. Redden  
United States District Judge